

Derived brackets and sh Leibniz algebras

K. UCHINO

Abstract

We will give a generalized framework for derived bracket construction. It will be shown that a deformation differential on a Leibniz algebra provides a strong homotopy (sh) Leibniz algebra structure by derived bracket construction. A relationship between the three concepts, i.e., homotopy algebra theory, deformation theory and derived bracket construction, will be discussed. We will prove that the derived bracket construction is a map from the equivalence classes of deformation theory to the one of sh Leibniz algebras.

1 Introduction.

Let $(V, d, [,])$ be a differential graded (dg) vector space, or a complex equipped with a binary bracket product. It is called a dg Leibniz algebra, or sometimes called a dg Loday algebra, if the differential is a derivation with respect to the bracket product and the bracket product satisfies a graded Leibniz identity. When the bracket is skewsymmetric, or graded commutative, the Leibniz identity is equivalent with a Jacobi identity. Hence a (dg) Leibniz algebra is considered as a noncommutative version of classical (dg) Lie algebra.

Let $(V, d, [,])$ be a dg Leibniz algebra. We define a modified bracket by $[x, y]_d := \pm[dx, y]$, where $\pm$ is an appropriate sign and $x, y \in V$. In Kosmann-Schwarzbach [5], it was shown that the new bracket also satisfies a Leibniz identity. This modified bracket is called a **derived bracket**. (The original idea of derived bracket was given by Koszul, cf. [18]). The derived brackets play important roles in modern analytical mechanics (cf. [6], Roytenberg [16]). For instance, a Poisson bracket on a smooth manifold is given as a derived bracket $\{f, g\} := [df, g]$, where f, g are smooth functions, $[,]$ is a Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket and d is a coboundary operator of Poisson cohomology. It is known that the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket is also

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000): 17A32, 53D17

Keywords: strong homotopy Leibniz algebras, derived brackets, deformation theory

a derived bracket of a certain graded Poisson bracket. Namely, there is a hierarchy of derived brackets. This hierarchy is closely related with a hierarchy of various Hamiltonian formalisms (classical Hamiltonian-, BV-, AKSZ-formalism and so on).

In general, even if a first bracket is *Lie*, the derived bracket is not skewsymmetric, and, in the case of $dd \neq 0$, the derived bracket has a Leibniz anomaly. Usually, this anomaly is controlled by some cocycle conditions. It is well-known that a certain collection of derived brackets becomes a strong homotopy Lie (sh Lie- or L_∞ -) algebra structure, under some good assumptions (see [15]). In Voronov [19], he introduced a new notion, derived bracket up to projection (so-called higher derived bracket). It was shown that a collection of Voronov's derived brackets also generates a strong homotopy Lie algebra. In Vallejo [18], he researched a n -ary derived bracket of differential forms, along Koszul's original theory. He gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a n -ary derived bracket becomes a Nambu-Lie bracket.

As a generalized framework for derived bracket construction, we will consider **sh Leibniz algebras** ($Leibniz_\infty$ -algebras or sh Loday algebras or $Loday_\infty$ -algebras). It is a homotopy version of Leibniz algebra, and it is considered as a noncommutative version of sh Lie algebra. An explicit construction of sh Leibniz algebras was given by Ammar and Poncin [1]. One can find a geometric example of sh Leibniz algebra in [17]. We will prove that a deformation differential of dg Leibniz algebra induces a sh Leibniz algebra structure by an extended derived bracket construction (**Theorem 3.4** below). This result is considered as a complete version of the classical derived bracket construction in [5] [15] [18]. The theorem is followed from more general result, **Lemma 4.4** below, as a corollary.

In Section 5, a relationship between homotopy algebra theory and deformation theory will be cleared. In Proposition 5.1, we will prove that if two deformation differentials are equivalent via a gauge transformation, then the induced sh Leibniz algebras are also equivalent, in other words, the derived bracket construction is a gauge invariance.

In Section 6, we will give a proof of Lemma 4.4.

Remark. In Loday and collaborators works [2, 9, 10, 11], they study right Leibniz algebras. In the following, we study the left version, or opposite Leibniz algebras. Hence we should translate their results to the left version.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank very much Professor Jean-Louis Loday and referees for kind advice and useful comments.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notations and Assumptions

In the following, we assume that the characteristic of a ground field $\mathbb{K}$ is zero and that a tensor product is defined over the field, $\otimes := \otimes_{\mathbb{K}}$. The mathematics of graded linear algebra is due to Koszul sign convention. For instance, a linear map $f \otimes g : V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ satisfies, for any $x \otimes y \in V \otimes V$,

$$(f \otimes g)(x \otimes y) = (-1)^{|g||x|} f(x) \otimes g(y),$$

where $|g|$ and $|x|$ are degrees of g and x . We will use a degree shifting operator, which is denoted by s (s^{-1}), with degree $+1$ (-1). The Koszul sign convention for shifting operators is, for instance,

$$s \otimes s = (s \otimes 1)(1 \otimes s) = -(1 \otimes s)(s \otimes 1).$$

We assume that a graded vector space is a complex. We say a square zero derivation a *differential*.

2.2 Unshuffle permutations

Let $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a sentence composed of n -words. By definition, an $(i, n-i)$ -unshuffle permutation is

$$(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(i)})(x_{\sigma(i+1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}),$$

where $\sigma \in S_n$ such that

$$\sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(i), \quad \sigma(i+1) < \dots < \sigma(n).$$

In next section, we will use partial unshuffle permutations. Namely, for a given sentence $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$,

$$(x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(i)})(x_{\tau(i+1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(k)})(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

where τ is an $(i, k-i)$ -unshuffle permutation.

2.3 Leibniz algebras and derived brackets

Let $(V, d, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a differential graded (dg) vector space, or a complex equipped with a binary bracket product. We assume that the degree of differential is $+1$ and the one of bracket is 0 . The space is called a dg Leibniz algebra or sometimes called

a dg Loday algebra, if d is a graded derivation with respect to $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and the bracket satisfies a graded Leibniz identity,

$$\begin{aligned} d[x, y] &= [dx, y] + (-1)^{|x|}[x, dy], \\ [x, [y, z]] &= [[x, y], z] + (-1)^{|x||y|}[y, [x, z]], \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y, z \in V$, $|\cdot|$ means the degree of element. A dg Lie algebra is a special Leibniz algebra such that the bracket is graded commutative, or skewsymmetric. In this sense, (dg) Leibniz algebras are considered as noncommutative version of (dg) Lie algebras.

In the following, we denote $(-1)^{|x|}$ by simply $(-1)^x$, without miss reading.

We recall classical derived bracket construction in [5, 6]. Define a new bracket product on the shifted space sV by

$$[sx, sy]_d := (-1)^x s[dx, y].$$

This bracket is called a derived bracket on sV . The sign $(-1)^x$ is given, via the Koszul sign convention, by the identity,

$$[sx, sy]_d = s[\cdot, \cdot](s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1})(sds^{-1} \otimes 1)(sx \otimes sy).$$

We recall standard two propositions.

- The derived bracket also satisfies the graded Leibniz identity,

$$[sx, [sy, sz]] = [[sx, sy], sz] + (-1)^{(x+1)(y+1)}[sy, [sx, sz]].$$

We consider the case of dg Lie algebra.

- Let $(V, d, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a dg Lie algebra and let $\mathfrak{g}(\subset V)$ an abelian, or trivial subalgebra of the Lie algebra. If the derived bracket is closed on $s\mathfrak{g}$, then it is still Lie on $s\mathfrak{g}$, because for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^x [dx, y] &= (-1)^x (d[x, y] - (-1)^x [x, dy]) \\ &= -[x, dy] \\ &= (-1)^{x(y+1)} [dy, x] \\ &= -(-1)^{(x+1)(y+1)} (-1)^y [dy, x]. \end{aligned}$$

In next section, we will give a generalized version of the two propositions.

3 Main results

Let V be a graded vector space and let $l_i : V^{\otimes i} \rightarrow V$ be an i -ary multilinear map with degree $2 - i$, for any $i \geq 1$.

Definition 3.1. ([1]) *The system $(V, l_1, l_2, \dots)$ is called sh Leibniz algebra, when (1) below holds.*

$$\sum_{i+j=Const} \sum_{k \geq j} \sum_{\sigma} \chi(\sigma) (-1)^{(k+1-j)(j-1)} (-1)^{j(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-j)})} \\ l_i(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-j)}, l_j(x_{\sigma(k+1-j)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_k), x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{i+j-1}) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $(x_1, \dots, x_{i+j-1}) \in V^{\otimes(i+j-1)}$, σ is $(k-j, j-1)$ -unshuffle, $\chi(\sigma)$ is an anti-Koszul sign, $\chi(\sigma) := \text{sgn}(\sigma)\epsilon(\sigma)$.

Sh Lie algebras are special examples of sh Leibniz algebras such that all l_i ($i \geq 2$) skewsymmetric.

Let $(V, \delta_0, [, \cdot])$ be a dg Leibniz algebra with differential δ_0 , $|\delta_0| := +1$. We assume a deformation of differential,

$$d = \delta_0 + t\delta_1 + t^2\delta_2 + \dots$$

Here d is a differential on $V[[t]]$, which is a Leibniz algebra of formal series with coefficients in V . The square zero condition $dd = 0$ is equivalent with

$$\sum_{i+j=Const} \delta_i \delta_j = 0. \quad (2)$$

We define an i -ary bracket product by

$$[x_1, \dots, x_i] := [\dots[[x_1, x_2], x_3], \dots, x_i].$$

It is well-known that the i -ary bracket satisfies an i -ary Leibniz identity, so-called Nambu-Leibniz identity (cf. [2]). We denote by N_i the i -ary bracket,

$$N_i(x_1, \dots, x_i) := [x_1, \dots, x_i].$$

Definition 3.2. *An $i(\geq 1)$ -ary derived bracket on sV :*

$$[sx_1, \dots, sx_i]_d := (-1)^{\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}} s \circ N_i \circ \mathbf{s}^{-1}(i) \circ (s\delta_{i-1}s^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}), \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{s}^{-1}(i) = \overbrace{s^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes s^{-1}}^i$, $\mathbf{1} = \overbrace{1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}^{i-1}$.

Remark that $[\cdot]_d = s\delta_0 s^{-1}$.

It is clear that the degree of i -ary derived bracket is $2 - i$ on sV . We see an explicit expression of derived brackets.

Proposition 3.3. *The derived bracket has the following form on V ,*

$$(\pm)[\delta_{i-1}x_1, \dots, x_i] = s^{-1}[sx_1, \dots, sx_i]_d, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\pm = \begin{cases} (-1)^{x_1+x_3+\dots+x_{2n+1}+\dots} & i = \text{even}, \\ (-1)^{x_2+x_4+\dots+x_{2n}+\dots} & i = \text{odd}. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } [sx_1, \dots, sx_i]_d &= (-1)^{\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}} s \circ N_i \circ \mathbf{s}^{-1}(i) \circ (s\delta s^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{1})(sx_1 \otimes \dots \otimes sx_i) = \\ &= (\pm)(-1)^{\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}} s \circ N_i \circ \mathbf{s}^{-1}(i) \circ (s\delta s^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \circ \mathbf{s}(i)(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_i) \\ &= (\pm)(-1)^{\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}} s \circ N_i \circ \mathbf{s}^{-1}(i) \circ (s\delta \otimes \mathbf{s}(i-1))(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_i) \\ &= (\pm)(-1)^{\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}} (-1)^{(i-1)} s \circ N_i \circ \mathbf{s}^{-1}(i) \circ \mathbf{s}(i)(\delta x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_i) \\ &= (\pm)(-1)^{\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}} (-1)^{(i-1)} (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}} s \circ N_i(\delta x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_i) \\ &= (\pm)s[\delta x_1, \dots, x_i], \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{s}(i)$ is defined by the same manner as $\mathbf{s}^{-1}(i)$. □

The main result of this note is as follows.

Theorem 3.4. *The system $(sV, [\cdot]_d, [\cdot, \cdot]_d, \dots)$ becomes a sh Leibniz algebra.*

We will give a proof of the theorem in next section.

Corollary 3.5. *In Theorem 3.4, if V is a dg Lie algebra and if $\mathfrak{g} \subset V$ is an abelian subalgebra and if $\mathfrak{sg}$ is a subalgebra of the induced sh Leibniz algebra, then $\mathfrak{sg}$ becomes a sh Lie algebra.*

Example 3.6. (Deformation theory, cf [3]) Let $(V, \delta_0, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a dg Lie algebra with a Maurer-Cartan (MC) element $\theta(t) := t\theta_1 + t^2\theta_2 + \dots$, which is a solution of

$$\delta_0\theta(t) + \frac{1}{2}[\theta(t), \theta(t)] = 0.$$

We put $\delta_i(-) := [\theta_i, -]$ for any $i \geq 1$. Then the MC equation implies the condition (2). Thus an algebraic deformation theory provides a sh Leibniz algebra structure via the derived bracket construction.

4 Proof of Theorem 3.4

The theorem is followed from more general result (Lemma 4.4 below). We need to recall an alternative definition of sh Leibniz algebras.

4.1 Bar/coalgebra construction

It is well-known that sh Leibniz algebra structures are equivalent with codifferentials on the cofree nilpotent (Koszul-)dual-Leibniz coalgebra. See [4, 7, 8, 11, 14], for general theory of homotopy algebras.

First we recall the notion of dual-Leibniz coalgebra. By definition, a dual-Leibniz coalgebra is a (graded) vector space equipped with a comultiplication, Δ , satisfying the identity below.

$$(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta = (\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta + (\sigma \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta$$

where $\sigma(\neq 1) \in S_2$. Let V be a graded vector space. We put,

$$\bar{T}V := V \oplus V^{\otimes 2} \oplus V^{\otimes 3} \oplus \dots$$

Proposition 4.1. ([1]) Define a comultiplication, $\Delta : \bar{T}V \rightarrow \bar{T}V \otimes \bar{T}V$, by $\Delta(V) := 0$ and

$$\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) := \sum_{i \geq 1}^n \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon(\sigma)(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(i)}) \otimes (x_{\sigma(i+1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}, x_{n+1}),$$

where $\epsilon(\sigma)$ is a Koszul sign, σ is $(i, n-i)$ -unshuffle and $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in V^{\otimes(n+1)} \subset \bar{T}V$. Then $(\bar{T}V, \Delta)$ becomes a cofree nilpotent dual-Leibniz coalgebra.

Let $\text{Coder}(\bar{T}V)$ be the space of coderivations with respect to the coalgebra structure, i.e., $D^c \in \text{Coder}(\bar{T}V)$ is satisfying,

$$\Delta D^c = (D^c \otimes 1)\Delta + (1 \otimes D^c)\Delta.$$

We recall a well-known proposition.

Proposition 4.2. ([1]) $\text{Coder}(\bar{T}V) \cong \text{Hom}(\bar{T}V, V)$.

For our aim, an explicit formula of the isomorphism is needed. Let $f : V^{\otimes i} \rightarrow V$ be an i -ary linear map. It is the one of generators in $\text{Hom}(\bar{T}V, V)$. The coderivation associated with f is defined by $f(V^{\otimes n < i}) := 0$ and

$$f^c(x_1, \dots, x_{n \geq i}) := \sum_{k \geq i} \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{|f|(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)})} (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-i)}, f(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_k), x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n), \quad (5)$$

where σ is $(k-i, i-1)$ -unshuffle. The inverse of $f \mapsto f^c$ is the restriction.

The space of coderivations has a canonical Lie bracket of commutator. If f, g are i -ary, j -ary multilinear maps respectively, then the Lie bracket $[f^c, g^c]$ is also the associated coderivation with an $(i+j-1)$ -ary map which is denoted by $\{f, g\}$, namely, $[f^c, g^c] = \{f, g\}^c$. Since the mapping $f \mapsto f^c$ is an isomorphism, $\{f, g\}$ is also a Lie bracket. Thus $\text{Coder}(\bar{T}V)$ is identified with $\text{Hom}(\bar{T}V, V)$ as a Lie algebra. Via the isomorphism, a sh Leibniz structure $\{l_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ corresponds with a collection of coderivations $\{\partial_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. The following proposition provides an alternative definition of sh Leibniz algebras.

Proposition 4.3. ([1]) *Let sV be a (shifted) graded vector space with a collection of i -ary multilinear maps with degree $2-i$, $\{l_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Remark that l_i is an element in $\text{Hom}(\bar{T}sV, sV)$. We consider the shifted maps,*

$$\partial_i := s^{-1} \circ l_i \circ (s \otimes \dots \otimes s),$$

for any i . Since $\bar{T}V \cong \bar{T}s^{-1}(sV)$, ∂_i is an element in $\text{Hom}(\bar{T}V, V)$ and thus it is in $\text{Coder}(\bar{T}V)$. The degree of ∂_i is $+1$ for any i . Define a coderivation as a perturbation,

$$\partial := \partial_1 + \partial_2 + \dots$$

The system $(sV, l_1, l_2, \dots)$ is a sh Leibniz algebra if and only if

$$\frac{1}{2}[\partial, \partial] = 0$$

or equivalently, $\partial\partial = 0$. (More correctly, $[\partial^c, \partial^c] = \{\partial, \partial\}^c = 0$.)

In the following, we will identify the Lie algebra $\text{Coder}(\bar{T}V)$ with $\text{Hom}(\bar{T}V, V)$. Hence we omit the subscript “ c ” from f^c .

4.2 Key Lemma

We consider the Leibniz algebra $(V, [,])$. Let $\text{Der}(V)$ be the space of derivations with respect to the Leibniz bracket. We define a collection of maps, for any $i \geq 1$,

$$\text{Der}(V) \rightarrow \text{Coder}(\bar{T}V), \quad D \mapsto N_i D,$$

where $N_i D$ is defined, up to the isomorphism $\text{Hom}(\bar{T}V, V) \cong \text{Coder}(\bar{T}V)$, as an i -ary multiplication,

$$N_i D(x_1, \dots, x_i) := [D(x_1), x_2, \dots, x_i].$$

Remark that $N_1 D = D$. The theorem is a corollary of the key lemma:

Lemma 4.4. *For any derivations $D, D' \in \text{Der}(V)$ and for any $i, j \geq 1$, the following identity holds.*

$$N_{i+j-1}[D, D'] = [N_i D, N_j D'],$$

where the brackets are both Lie bracket of graded commutator.

Proof. We show the case of $i = 1$. The general case will be shown in Section 6.

Assume $i = 1$. For any $(x_1, \dots, x_j) \in V^{\otimes j}$, $N_j[D, D'] =$

$$[[D, D'](x_1), \dots, x_j] = [DD'(x_1), \dots, x_j] - (-1)^{DD'}[D'D(x_1), \dots, x_j]. \quad (6)$$

We consider the first term in (6), which has the following form.

$$[DD'(x_1), \dots, x_j] = [\dots[DD'(x_1), x_2], \dots, x_j].$$

By the derivation rule of D , it is modified with

$$[\dots D[D'(x_1), x_2], \dots, x_j] - (-1)^{D(D'+x_1)}[\dots[D'(x_1), Dx_2], \dots, x_j]$$

Thus (6) is equal with

$$\begin{aligned} [[D, D'](x_1), \dots, x_j] &= [\dots D[D'(x_1), x_2], \dots, x_j] \\ &\quad - (-1)^{D(D'+x_1)}[\dots[D'(x_1), Dx_2], \dots, x_j] - (-1)^{DD'}[D'D(x_1), \dots, x_j]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Similar way, the first term in (7) is modified with

$$\begin{aligned} [\dots D[D'(x_1), x_2], \dots, x_j] &= \\ &[\dots D[[D'(x_1), x_2], x_3], \dots, x_j] - (-1)^{D(D'+x_1+x_2)}[\dots[[D'(x_1), x_2], Dx_3], \dots, x_j]. \end{aligned}$$

We repeat this modification. Finally, we obtain $[[D, D'](x_1), \dots, x_j] =$

$$= D[D'x_1, \dots, x_j] - (-1)^{DD'} \sum_{k=1}^j (-1)^{D(x_1+\dots+x_{k-1})} [D'x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, Dx_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_j], \quad (8)$$

which is equal with $N_j[D, D'] = [N_1 D, N_j D']$. $\square$

The derived brackets are elements in $\text{Hom}(\bar{T}sV, sV)$. Thus they correspond with coderivations in $\text{Coder}(\bar{T}V)$, via the maps,

$$\text{Hom}(\bar{T}sV, sV) \stackrel{\text{shift}}{\cong} \text{Hom}(\bar{T}V, V) \cong \text{Coder}(\bar{T}V).$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let ∂_i be the coderivation associated with the i -ary derived bracket. For any $i \geq 1$, it has the following form.*

$$\partial_i = N_i \delta_{i-1}.$$

Proof. Up to the identification $\text{Hom}(\bar{T}V, V) \cong \text{Coder}(\bar{T}V)$, ∂_i is defined by

$$\partial_i := s^{-1} \circ [\dots i\text{-ary} \dots]_d \circ (s \otimes \dots \otimes s).$$

We directly have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i &:= s^{-1} \circ [\dots i\text{-ary} \dots]_d \circ (s \otimes \dots \otimes s) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}} N_i \circ (s^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes s^{-1}) \circ (s \delta_{i-1} \otimes s \otimes \dots \otimes s) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}} N_i \circ (\delta_{i-1} \otimes s^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes s^{-1}) \circ (1 \otimes s \otimes \dots \otimes s) \\ &= N_i \delta_{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We give a proof of Theorem 3.4 here.

Proof. By Lemma 4.5, the deformation derivation $d = \delta_0 + t\delta_1 + t^2\delta_2 + \dots$ corresponds with a perturbation,

$$\partial := \partial_1 + \partial_2 + \partial_3 + \dots$$

By Lemmas 4.4 the deformation condition $[d, d]/2 = 0$ corresponds with the homotopy algebra condition,

$$\sum_{i+j=\text{Const}} [\partial_i, \partial_j] = \sum_{i+j=\text{Const}} [N_i \delta_{i-1}, N_j \delta_{j-1}] = N_{i+j-1} \sum_{i+j=\text{Const}} [\delta_{i-1}, \delta_{j-1}] = 0.$$

□

Remark 4.6. (On Lemma 4.4) We consider the case of $\partial_{i \neq 2} = 0$. In the case, the sh Leibniz algebra is the usual Leibniz algebra. We put $CL^n(V) := \text{Hom}(\bar{T}^n V, V)$ and $b(-) := [\partial_2, -]$. Then $(CL^*(V), b)$ is a complex of Leibniz cohomology ([9]). The key Lemma implies that $\text{Der}(V)$ provides a subcomplex,

$$N_i \text{Der}(V) \subset CL^i(V),$$

because $[\partial_2, N_i D] = N_{i+1}[\delta_1, D]$.

5 Deformation theory

Finally, we discuss a relationship between deformation theory and sh Leibniz algebras. The deformation differential, $d = \delta_0 + t\delta_1 + \dots$, is considered as a differential on $V[[t]]$ which is a Leibniz algebra of formal series with coefficients in V . Let

$th \in \text{Der}(V[[t]])$ be a derivation with degree 0. Then an equivalence deformation is defined by

$$d' := \exp(X_{th})(d),$$

where $X_{th} := [\cdot, th]$. By a standard argument, d' is also a differential, which is the formal sum of δ'_* s,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta'_0 &= \delta_0, \\ \delta'_1 &= \delta_1 + [\delta_0, h], \\ \delta'_2 &= \delta_2 + [\delta_1, h] + \frac{1}{2!}[[\delta_0, h], h], \\ &\dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ \delta'_i &= \sum_{n=0}^i \frac{1}{(i-n)!} X_h^{i-n}(\delta_n). \end{aligned}$$

The collection $\{\delta'_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ also induces a sh Leibniz algebra structure $\partial' = \sum \partial'_i$. From Lemmas 4.4, 4.5, we have

$$\partial'_{i+1} = N_{i+1} \delta'_i = \sum_{n=0}^i \frac{1}{(i-n)!} X_{N_2 h}^{i-n}(\partial_{n+1}).$$

Thus we obtain

$$\partial' = \exp(X_{N_2 h})(\partial),$$

which implies an equivalency of ∂ and ∂' . We consider a general case. Let $h(t) := th_1 + t^2 h_2 + \dots$ be a derivation on the Leibniz algebra $V[[t]]$ with degree $|h(t)| := 0$. By definition, a *gauge transformation* on deformation differentials is the transformation,

$$d' := \exp(X_{h(t)})(d). \quad (9)$$

Proposition 5.1. *(I) If two deformation differentials are equivalent, or related via the gauge transformation, then the induced sh Leibniz algebra structures are also so, i.e., the codifferential ∂' which is induced by d' is related with ∂ via the transformation,*

$$\partial' = \exp(X_{Nh})(\partial), \quad (10)$$

where Nh is a well-defined infinite sum,

$$Nh := N_2 h_1 + N_3 h_2 + \dots + N_{i+1} h_i + \dots$$

(II) An integral of Nh ,

$$e^{Nh} := 1 + Nh + \frac{1}{2!}(Nh)^2 + \dots,$$

is a dg coalgebra isomorphism between $(\bar{TV}, \partial)$ and $(\bar{TV}, \partial')$, namely, (11) and (12) below hold.

$$\partial' = e^{-Nh} \cdot \partial \cdot e^{Nh}, \quad (11)$$

$$\Delta e^{Nh} = (e^{Nh} \otimes e^{Nh}) \Delta. \quad (12)$$

The notion of sh Leibniz algebra homomorphism is defined as a map satisfying (11) and (12). Thus (II) says that e^{Nh} is a sh Leibniz algebra isomorphism.

Proof. (I) From (9) we have

$$\delta'_n = \delta_n + \sum_{n=i+j} [\delta_i, h_j] + \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{n=i+j+k} [[\delta_i, h_j], h_k] + \dots$$

Thus $\partial'_{n+1} = N_{n+1} \delta'_n =$

$$\begin{aligned} N_{n+1} \delta_n + \sum_{n=i+j} N_{n+1} [\delta_i, h_j] + \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{n=i+j+k} N_{n+1} [[\delta_i, h_j], h_k] + \dots = \\ \partial_{n+1} + \sum_{n=i+j} [\partial_{i+1}, N_{j+1} h_j] + \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{n=i+j+k} [[\partial_{i+1}, N_{j+1} h_j], N_{k+1} h_k] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

This gives (10).

(II) The integral e^{Nh} is well-defined as an isomorphism on $\bar{TV}$, because e^{Nh} is finite on $V^{\otimes n}$ for any n . For instance, on $V^{\otimes 3}$,

$$e^{Nh} \equiv 1 + (N_2 h_1 + N_3 h_2) + \frac{1}{2} (N_2 h_1)^2.$$

By a direct computation, we have

$$\exp(X_{Nh})(\partial) = e^{-Nh} \cdot \partial \cdot e^{Nh}.$$

Thus (11) holds. Since Nh is coderivation, e^{Nh} satisfies (12). The proof is completed. $\square$

6 Proof of Lemma 4.4

Claim 6.1. *Let $f : V^{\otimes i} \rightarrow V$ be an i -ary linear map. It is identified with the coderivation, recall (5). We put*

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{|f|(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)})} \\ (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-i)}, f(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_k), x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the decomposition of coderivation,

$$f^c = \sum_{k \geq i} f^{(k)}.$$

In Section 4.2, we showed the lemma under the assumption of $i = 1$. By induction, we assume the identity of the lemma and prove the case of $i + 1$:

$$N_{i+j}[D, D'] = [N_{i+1}D, N_j D'].$$

We put $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_{i+j-1})$. From the definition of ND , we have

$$N_{i+j}[D, D'](\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}) = [N_{i+j-1}[D, D'](\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}].$$

From the assumption of induction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{i+j}[D, D'](\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}) &= [[N_i D, N_j D'](\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}] \\ &= [N_i D \circ N_j D'(\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}] - (-1)^{DD'} [N_j D' \circ N_i D(\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}]. \end{aligned}$$

We use the decomposition above for $N_j D'$,

$$N_j D' = \sum_{k \geq j} N_j^{(k)} D'.$$

We have

$$N_{i+j}[D, D'](\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}) = \sum_{k=j}^{i+j-1} [N_i D \circ N_j^{(k)} D'(\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}] - (-1)^{DD'} [N_j D' \circ N_i D(\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}].$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=j}^{i+j-1} [N_i D \circ N_j^{(k)} D'(\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}] &= \sum_{k=j}^{i+j-1} N_{i+1} D \circ N_j^{(k)} D'(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}) \\ &= N_{i+1} D \circ N_j D'(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}) - N_{i+1} D \circ N_j^{(i+j)} D'(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}). \end{aligned}$$

because the coderivation preserves the position of the most right component x_{i+j} .

So it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} -(-1)^{DD'} [N_j D' \circ N_i D(\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}] &= \\ N_{i+1} D \circ N_j^{(i+j)} D'(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}) - (-1)^{DD'} N_j D' \circ N_{i+1} D(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

We need a lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *For any elements, $A, B, y_1, \dots, y_n \in V$,*

$$\begin{aligned} [A, B, y_1, \dots, y_n] &= -(-1)^{AB} [B, [A, y_1, \dots, y_n]] + \\ &\quad \sum_{a=1}^n (-1)^{B(y_1 + \dots + y_{a-1})} [A, y_1, \dots, y_{a-1}, [B, y_a], y_{a+1}, \dots, y_n]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Immediately. □

We show (13). By the definition of coderivation,

$$N_i D(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k \geq i}^{i+j-1} \sum_{\sigma} E(\sigma, k-i)(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-i)}, [Dx_{\sigma(k+1-i)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_k], x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{i+j-1}).$$

where

$$E(\sigma, *) := \epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{D(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(*)})}.$$

We have $-(-1)^{DD'} [N_j D' \circ N_i D(\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}] =$

$$-(-1)^{DD'} \sum_{k \geq i}^{i+j-1} \sum_{\sigma} E(\sigma, k-i)[[D'x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-i)}], [Dx_{\sigma(k+1-i)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_k], x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{i+j}], \quad (14)$$

where $[I, \dots, F] = [[I, \dots, -], \dots, F]$ is used. We put $A := [D'x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-i)}]$ and $B := [Dx_{\sigma(k+1-i)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_k]$. From Lemma 6.2, we have

$$(14) = (15) + (16),$$

where

$$-(-1)^{DD'} \sum_{k \geq i}^{i+j-1} \sum_{\sigma} E(\sigma, k-i) E_1 [Dx_{\sigma(k+1-i)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_k, [D'x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-i)}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{i+j}]] \quad (15)$$

$$-(-1)^{DD'} \sum_{k \geq i}^{i+j-1} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{a=1}^{i+j-k} E(\sigma, k-i) E_2 [D'x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-i)}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{k+a-1}, [Dx_{\sigma(k+1-i)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_k, x_{k+a}], x_{k+a+1}, \dots, x_{i+j}], \quad (16)$$

where E_1 and E_2 are appropriate signs given by the manner in the lemma above.

(I) We show the identity,

$$(15) = N_{i+1} D \circ N_j^{(i+j)} D'(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}).$$

We replace σ in (15) with an unshuffle permutation τ along the table,

$\sigma(k+1-i)$	$\dots$	$\sigma(k-1)$	k	$\sigma(1)$	$\dots$	$\sigma(k-i)$
$\tau(1)$	$\dots$	$\tau(i-1)$	$\tau(i)$	$\tau(i+1)$	$\dots$	$\tau(k)$

Then Koszul sign is also replaced with $\epsilon(\tau)$ below.

$$\epsilon(\tau) = \epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)})(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-1)} + x_k)}.$$

We have $E(\sigma, k-i)E_1 =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{D(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)})}(-1)^{AB} \\ &= -\epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{D(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)})}(-1)^{(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)} + D')(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-1)} + x_k + D)} \\ &= -\epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)})(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-1)} + x_k)}(-1)^{D'(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-1)} + x_k) + DD'} \\ &= -\epsilon(\tau)(-1)^{D'(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-1)} + x_k) + DD'} \\ &= -\epsilon(\tau)(-1)^{D'(x_{\tau(1)} + \dots + x_{\tau(i-1)} + x_{\tau(i)}) + DD'} = -E'(\tau, i)(-1)^{DD'}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (15) is equal with

$$\sum_{k \geq i}^{i+j-1} \sum_{\tau} E'(\tau, i)[Dx_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(i-1)}, x_{\tau(i)=k}, [D'x_{\tau(i+1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(k)}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{i+j}]], \quad (15)'$$

where τ is $(i, k-i)$ -unshuffle such that $\tau(i) = k$.

Claim 6.3. $(15)' = (15)''$.

$$\sum_{\nu} E'(\nu, i)[Dx_{\nu(1)}, \dots, x_{\nu(i-1)}, x_{\nu(i)}, [D'x_{\nu(i+1)}, \dots, x_{\nu(i+j-1)}, x_{i+j}]], \quad (15)''$$

where ν is $(i, j-1)$ -unshuffle.

Proof. Assume $(15)''$. Since ν is $(i, j-1)$ -unshuffle, $\nu(i) \geq i$. We put $k = \nu(i)$. Then $k+1, k+2, \dots, i+j-1$ are elements in $\{\nu(i+1), \dots, \nu(i+j-1)\}$. Thus $\nu(i+j-1) = i+j-1$, $\nu(i+j-2) = i+j-2, \dots$, $\nu(k+1) = k+1$. Replace ν with τ . This replacement preserves the order of variables. Thus $E'(\tau, i) = E'(\nu, i)$. $\square$

Since $(15)'' = N_{i+1}D \circ N_j^{(i+j)}D'(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j})$, we obtain

$$(15) = N_{i+1}D \circ N_j^{(i+j)}D'(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}).$$

(II) We show the identity,

$$(16) = -(-1)^{DD'}N_jD' \circ N_{i+1}D(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}).$$

We replace σ in (16) with an unshuffle permutation τ , along the table,

$\sigma(1)$	...	$\sigma(k-i)$	$k+1$	...	$k+a-1$
$\tau(1)$	...	$\tau(k-i)$	$\tau(k+1-i)$	...	$\tau(k+a-1-i)$
$\sigma(k+1-i)$	...	$\sigma(k-1)$	k		
$\tau(k+a-i)$	...	$\tau(k+a-2)$	$\tau(k+a-1)$		

Then the Koszul sign is also replaced with $\epsilon(\tau)$,

$$\epsilon(\tau) = \epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-1)} + x_k)(x_{k+1} + \dots + x_{k+a-1})}.$$

We have $E(\sigma, k-i)E_2 =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{D(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)})}(-1)^{B(x_{k+1} + \dots + x_{k+a-1})} \\ &= \epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{D(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)})}(-1)^{(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-1)} + x_k + D)(x_{k+1} + \dots + x_{k+a-1})} \\ &= \epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-1)} + x_k)(x_{k+1} + \dots + x_{k+a-1})}(-1)^{D(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)} + x_{k+1} + \dots + x_{k+a-1})} \\ &= \epsilon(\tau)(-1)^{D(x_{\tau(1)} + \dots + x_{\tau(k+a-1-i)})} = E(\tau, k+a-1-i), \end{aligned}$$

We put $m := k+a-1$, $E(\tau, k+a-1-i) = E(\tau, m-i)$. We easily obtain (16) =

$$\begin{aligned} &-(-1)^{DD'} \sum_{k \geq i}^{i+j-1} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{a=1}^{i+j-k} E(\sigma, k-i)E_2 \\ &[D'x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-i)}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{k+a-1}, [Dx_{\sigma(k+1-i)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_k, x_{k+a}], x_{k+a+1}, \dots, x_{i+j}] = \\ &-(-1)^{DD'} \sum_{m \geq i}^{i+j-1} \sum_{\tau} E(\tau, m-i)[D'x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(m-i)}, [Dx_{\tau(m+1-i)}, \dots, x_{\tau(m)}, x_{m+1}], x_{m+2}, \dots, x_{i+j}] = \\ &-(-1)^{DD'} N_j D' \circ N_{i+1} D(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}). \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

In (17), first assume the right-hand side, then one can easily verify the left-hand side, because $i \leq \tau(m) \leq i+j-1$. The proof is completed.

References

- [1] M. Ammar and N. Poncin. Coalgebraic Approach to the Loday Infinity Category, Stem Differential for $2n$ -ary Graded and Homotopy Algebras. Preprint Arxiv, math/0809.4328.
- [2] J. M. Casas, J-L. Loday and T. Pirashvili. Leibniz n -algebras. Forum Math. 14 (2002), no. 2, 189–207.
- [3] M. Doubek, M. Markl and P. Zima. Deformation theory (lecture notes). Arch. Math. (Brno) 43 (2007), no. 5, 333–371.
- [4] V. Ginzburg and M. Kapranov. Koszul duality for operads. Duke Math. J. 76 (1994), no. 1, 203–272.
- [5] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach. From Poisson algebras to Gerstenhaber algebras. (English, French summary) Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 46 (1996), no. 5, 1243–1274.

- [6] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach. Derived brackets. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 69 (2004), 61–87.
- [7] T. Lada and M. Markl. Strongly homotopy Lie algebras. *Comm. Algebra* 23 (1995), no. 6, 2147–2161.
- [8] T. Lada and J. Stasheff. Introduction to sh Lie algebras for physicists. Preprint Arxive, hep-th/9209099.
- [9] J-L. Loday and T. Pirashvili. Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and (co)homology. *Math. Ann.* 296 (1993), no. 1, 139–158.
- [10] J-L. Loday. Cup-product for Leibniz cohomology and dual Leibniz algebras. *Math. Scand.* 77 (1995), no. 2, 189–196.
- [11] J-L. Loday. Dialgebras. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1763. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2001), 7–66.
- [12] M. Markl. Models for operads. (English summary) *Comm. Algebra* 24 (1996), no. 4, 1471–1500.
- [13] M. Markl. Homotopy algebras via resolutions of operads. Preprint Arxive, math/9808101.
- [14] M. Markl, S. Shnider and J. Stasheff. Operads in algebra, topology and physics. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, 96. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (2002). x+349 pp.
- [15] D. Roytenberg. Quasi-Lie bialgebroids and twisted Poisson manifolds. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 61 (2002), no. 2, 123–137.
- [16] D. Roytenberg. AKSZ-BV formalism and Courant algebroid-induced topological field theories. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 79 (2007), no. 2, 143–159.
- [17] D. Roytenberg. On weak Lie 2-algebras. Preprint Arxive, math/0712.3461.
- [18] J-A. Vallejo. Nambu-Poisson manifolds and associated n -ary Lie algebroids. *J. Phys. A.* 34 (2001), no. 13, 2867–2881.
- [19] T. Voronov. Higher derived brackets and homotopy algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 202 (2005), no. 1-3, 133–153.

Post doctoral.

Science University of Tokyo.

3-14-1 Shinjyuku Tokyo Japan.

e-mail: K_Uchino[at]oct.rikadai.jp

Derived brackets and sh Leibniz algebras

K. UCHINO

Abstract

We develop a general framework for the construction of various derived brackets. We show that suitably deforming the differential of a graded Leibniz algebra extends the derived bracket construction and leads to the notion of strong homotopy (sh) Leibniz algebra. We discuss the connections among homotopy algebra theory, deformation theory and derived brackets. We prove that the derived bracket construction induces a map from suitably defined deformation theory equivalence classes to the isomorphism classes of sh Leibniz algebras.

1 Introduction.

Let $(V, d, \{, \})$ be a chain complex equipped with a binary bilinear V -valued operation $\{, \}$. The triple $(V, d, \{, \})$ is called a dg Leibniz algebra or a dg Loday algebra by some authors, if the differential is a derivation with respect to the bracket and the bracket satisfies the (graded) Leibniz identity. When the bracket is anti-commutative, the Leibniz identity is equivalent to the Jacobi identity. In this sense, (dg) Leibniz algebras are noncommutative analogues of classical (dg) Lie algebras.

Let $(V, d, \{, \})$ be a dg Leibniz algebra. We define a modified bracket:

$$\{x, y\}_d := (-1)^x \{dx, y\},$$

which is called a *derived bracket*. In Kosmann-Schwarzbach [5], it was shown that the derived bracket satisfies the Leibniz identity. The original idea of the derived bracket goes back at least to Koszul (unpublished). The derived brackets play important roles in modern analytical mechanics (cf. [6]). For instance, a Poisson bracket on a smooth manifold is given as a derived bracket, $\{f, g\} := [df, g]_{SN}$, where f, g are smooth functions on the manifold, $[\cdot, \cdot]_{SN}$ is a Schouten-Nijenhuis

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000): 17A32, 53D17

Keywords: strong homotopy Leibniz algebras, derived brackets, deformation theory

bracket and d is a coboundary operator of Poisson cohomology. It is known that the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket is also a derived bracket of a certain graded Poisson bracket.

We consider n -fold derived brackets:

$$(\pm)[[\dots[\delta x_1, x_2]\dots], x_n],$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is a Lie bracket, $\pm$ an appropriate sign, and δ a certain derivation, not necessarily of square zero. The n -ary (higher) derived brackets in the category of *Lie* algebras were studied by several authors in various contexts: in an article on Poisson geometry by Roytenberg (2002) [15], in a paper on homotopy algebra theory by Voronov (2005) [18], in early work of Vallejo (2001) [17] who gave a necessary and sufficient condition for the n -ary derived brackets become Nambu-Lie brackets.

The purpose of this note is to complete the theory of higher derived bracket construction in the category of *Leibniz* algebras. To study the higher derived bracket composed of *pure* Leibniz brackets, we apply the theory of *sh Leibniz algebras* (also called Leibniz ∞ -algebras, sh Loday algebras or Loday ∞ -algebras). Sh Leibniz algebras are Leibniz algebras *up to homotopy* as well as noncommutative analogues of sh Lie algebras. We refer the reader to Ammar and Poncin [1] for the study of sh Leibniz algebras. We give a short survey of sh Leibniz algebras in Section 4.1 below. The main result of this note is Theorem 3.4: Let $(V, \delta_0, \{, \})$ be a dg Leibniz algebra. We consider a deformation of δ_0 ,

$$\delta_t = \delta_0 + t\delta_1 + t^2\delta_2 + \dots,$$

where t is a formal parameter and δ_t a differential on $V[[t]]$. We define an i -ary derived bracket as

$$l_i(x_1, \dots, x_i) := (\pm)\{\{\dots\{\delta_{i-1}x_1, x_2\}, \dots\}, x_i\},$$

where $\pm$ is an appropriate sign. We prove that the collection of the higher derived brackets, $\{l_1, l_2, \dots\}$, yields an sh Leibniz algebra structure. The theorem follows from a universal formula, satisfied by Leibniz brackets, which we establish in Lemma 4.2.

The higher derived bracket construction proposed in this paper is useful to study a relation between homotopy algebra theory and deformation theory. In Proposition 5.1, we will show that if two deformations of δ_0 are gauge equivalent, then the induced sh Leibniz algebras are equivalent; in other words, the higher derived bracket construction is invariant under gauge transformations.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank very much Professor Jean-Louis Loday and referees for kind advice and useful comments, and also thank Professors Johannes Huebschmann and Akira Yoshioka for kind advice.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notation and Assumptions

The base field is a field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic zero. The unadorned tensor product denotes the tensor product $\otimes := \otimes_{\mathbb{K}}$ over the field $\mathbb{K}$. We follow the standard Koszul sign convention, for instance, a linear map $f \otimes g : V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ satisfies

$$(f \otimes g)(x \otimes y) = (-1)^{|g||x|} f(x) \otimes g(y),$$

where $x, y \in V$ and where $|g|, |x|$ are the degrees of g, x . We will denote by s the operator that raises degree by 1 and, likewise, by s^{-1} the operator that lowers degree by 1. The Koszul sign convention for shifting operators is, for instance,

$$s \otimes s = (s \otimes 1)(1 \otimes s) = -(1 \otimes s)(s \otimes 1).$$

We call a derivation of degree 1 a *differential*, if it is of square zero. Given a homogeneous member x of a graded vector space, we denote the sign $(-1)^{|x|}$ simply by $(-1)^x$.

2.2 Leibniz algebras and derived brackets

Let $(V, d, \{, \})$ be a chain complex equipped with a binary bracket. We assume that the degree of the differential is $+1$ (or odd) and the degree of the bracket is 0 (or even). The triple is called a dg (left) Leibniz algebra, or a dg (left) Loday algebra by some authors, if d is a derivation with respect to the bracket and the bracket satisfies a Leibniz identity, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} d\{x, y\} &= \{dx, y\} + (-1)^{|x|}\{x, dy\}, \\ \{x, \{y, z\}\} &= \{\{x, y\}, z\} + (-1)^{|x||y|}\{y, \{x, z\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y, z \in V$. A dg Lie algebra can be seen as a special Leibniz algebra of which the bracket is anti-commutative. In this sense, (dg) Leibniz algebras are noncommutative analogues of (dg) Lie algebras.

We recall the classical derived bracket construction in [5, 6]. Define a new bracket on the shifted space sV by

$$\{sx, sy\}_d := (-1)^x s\{dx, y\}. \tag{1}$$

This bracket is called a (binary) derived bracket on sV . Eq. (1) is equal to the following tensor identity,

$$\{\cdot, \cdot\}_d(sx \otimes sy) = s\{\cdot, \cdot\}(s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1})(sds^{-1} \otimes 1)(sx \otimes sy).$$

We recall two basic propositions.

- The derived bracket also satisfies the graded Leibniz identity, i.e.,

$$\{sx, \{sy, sz\}_d\}_d = \{\{sx, sy\}_d, sz\}_d + (-1)^{(x+1)(y+1)}\{sy, \{sx, sz\}_d\}_d.$$

We consider the cases of dg Lie algebras.

- Let $(V, d, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a dg Lie algebra and let $\mathfrak{g} \subset V$ a trivial subalgebra of the Lie algebra. If $s\mathfrak{g}$ is closed under the derived bracket, then $s\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra, that is, the derived bracket is anti-commutative on $s\mathfrak{g}$.

3 Main results

Let V be a graded vector space and let $l_i : V^{\otimes i} \rightarrow V$ be an i -ary multilinear map with the degree $2 - i$, for each $i \geq 1$.

Definition 3.1. ([1]) *The space $(V, l_1, l_2, \dots)$ with the multilinear maps is called a strong homotopy (sh) Leibniz algebra, if the collection $\{l_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ satisfies (2) below.*

$$\sum_{i+j=Const} \sum_{k=j}^{i+j-1} \sum_{\sigma} \chi(\sigma) (-1)^{(k+1-j)(j-1)} (-1)^{j(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-j)})} l_i(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-j)}, l_j(x_{\sigma(k+1-j)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_k), x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{i+j-1}) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $x. \in V$, $\sigma \in S_{k-1}$ is a $(k-j, j-1)$ -unshuffle $([\gamma])$, i.e.,

$$\sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(k-j), \quad \sigma(k+1-j) < \dots < \sigma(k-1),$$

and $\chi(\sigma)$ is an anti-Koszul sign, $\chi(\sigma) := \text{sgn}(\sigma)\epsilon(\sigma)$.

An sh Lie algebra can be seen as a special sh Leibniz algebra whose structures $l_{i \geq 2}$ are skewsymmetric.

Let $(V, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ be a Leibniz algebra. We define an i -ary bracket associated with the Leibniz bracket as

$$N_i(x_1, \dots, x_i) := \{\dots \{\{x_1, x_2\}, x_3\}, \dots, x_i\}.$$

It is well-known that N_i satisfies an i -ary Leibniz identity, so-called Nambu-Leibniz identity (cf. [2]). Hence we denote the higher bracket by $N.$. Let $\text{Der}(V)$ be the

space of derivations on the Leibniz algebra. For any $D \in \text{Der}(V)$, we define a multilinear map as

$$N_i D := N_i(D \otimes \overbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}^{i-1}),$$

or equivalently, $N_i D(x_1, \dots, x_i) = \{\dots\{\{D(x_1), x_2\}, x_3\}, \dots, x_i\}$, in particular, $N_1 D := D$.

Let $\delta_0 \in \text{Der}(V)$ be a differential on the Leibniz algebra. We consider a formal deformation of δ_0 ,

$$\delta_t := \delta_0 + t\delta_1 + t^2\delta_2 + \cdots.$$

The deformation δ_t is a differential on $V[[t]]$, which is a Leibniz algebra of formal series with coefficients in V . The differential condition $\delta_t^2 = 0$ is equivalent to the following condition,

$$\sum_{i+j=\text{Const}} \delta_i \delta_j = 0. \quad (3)$$

Definition 3.2. We define an i -ary derived bracket on sV as

$$l_i := (-1)^{\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}} s \circ N_i \circ \mathbf{s}^{-1}(i) \circ (s\delta_{i-1}s^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}),$$

where $\mathbf{s}^{-1}(i) = \overbrace{s^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes s^{-1}}^i$, $\mathbf{1} = \overbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}^{i-1}$.

It is obvious that the degree of the i -ary derived bracket is $2 - i$ for each $i \geq 1$. We see an explicit expression of the higher derived bracket.

Proposition 3.3. For each $i \geq 1$, the higher derived bracket has the following form on V ,

$$(\pm)\{\dots\{\{\delta_{i-1}x_1, x_2\}, x_3\}, \dots, x_i\} = s^{-1}l_i(sx_1, \dots, sx_i),$$

where

$$\pm = \begin{cases} (-1)^{x_1+x_3+\cdots+x_{2n+1}+\cdots} & i = \text{even}, \\ (-1)^{x_2+x_4+\cdots+x_{2n}+\cdots} & i = \text{odd}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. $l_i(sx_1, \dots, sx_i) = (-1)^{\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}} s \circ N_i \circ \mathbf{s}^{-1}(i) \circ (s\delta_{i-1}s^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{1})(sx_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes sx_i) =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (\pm)(-1)^{\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}} s \circ N_i \circ \mathbf{s}^{-1}(i) \circ (s\delta_{i-1}s^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \circ \mathbf{s}(i)(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i) \\ &= (\pm)(-1)^{\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}} s \circ N_i \circ \mathbf{s}^{-1}(i) \circ (s\delta_{i-1} \otimes \mathbf{s}(i-1))(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i) \\ &= (\pm)(-1)^{\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}} (-1)^{(i-1)} s \circ N_i \circ \mathbf{s}^{-1}(i) \circ \mathbf{s}(i)(\delta_{i-1}x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i) \\ &= (\pm)(-1)^{\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}} (-1)^{(i-1)} (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}} s \circ N_i(\delta x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i) \\ &= (\pm)s\{\dots\{\{\delta_{i-1}x_1, x_2\}, x_3\}, \dots, x_i\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{s}(i) := s \otimes \cdots \otimes s$ (i -times). □

The main result of this note is as follows.

Theorem 3.4. *The system $(sV, l_1, l_2, l_3, \dots)$ associated with the higher derived brackets defined in Definition 3.2 forms an sh Leibniz algebra.*

We will give a proof of the theorem in the next section. We consider the cases of dg Lie algebras.

Corollary 3.5. *Assume that in Theorem 3.4 V is a Lie algebra. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an abelian subalgebra of the Lie algebra. If $s\mathfrak{g}$ is a subalgebra of the induced sh Leibniz algebra, then $s\mathfrak{g}$ becomes an sh Lie algebra.*

Example 3.6. (Deformation theory, cf. [3]) Let $(V, \delta_0, [,])$ be a dg Lie algebra with a Maurer-Cartan (MC) element $\theta_t := t\theta_1 + t^2\theta_2 + \dots$, which is a solution of the MC-equation:

$$\delta_0\theta_t + \frac{1}{2}[\theta_t, \theta_t] = 0.$$

We put $\delta_i(-) := [\theta_i, -]$ for each $i \geq 1$. Then the collection $\{\delta_i\}$ satisfies eq. (3) because θ_t is a solution of the MC-equation. Therefore an algebraic deformation theory admits an sh Leibniz algebra structure, via the higher derived bracket construction.

4 Proof of Theorem 3.4

The theorem is given as a corollary of the key lemma (Lemma 4.2 below). To state the lemma, we recall an alternative definition of sh Leibniz algebra.

4.1 Sh Leibniz algebras (cf. [1])

We recall the notion of dual-Leibniz coalgebra ([10, 11]). A dual-Leibniz coalgebra is, by definition, a (graded) vector space equipped with a comultiplication, Δ , satisfying the identity below.

$$(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta = (\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta + ((12) \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta,$$

where $(12) \in S_2$. We consider the tensor space over a graded vector space:

$$\bar{T}V := V \oplus V^{\otimes 2} \oplus V^{\otimes 3} \oplus \dots$$

Define a comultiplication, $\Delta : \bar{T}V \rightarrow \bar{T}V \otimes \bar{T}V$, by $\Delta(V) := 0$ and

$$\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) := \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon(\sigma)(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(i)}) \otimes (x_{\sigma(i+1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}, x_{n+1}),$$

where $\epsilon(\sigma)$ is a Koszul sign, σ is an $(i, n-i)$ -unshuffle and $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in V^{\otimes(n+1)}$. Then the pair $(\bar{T}V, \Delta)$ becomes the cofree nilpotent dual-Leibniz coalgebra over V .

Let $\text{Coder}(\bar{T}V)$ be the space of coderivations on the coalgebra, i.e., $D^c \in \text{Coder}(\bar{T}V)$ satisfies

$$\Delta D^c = (D^c \otimes 1)\Delta + (1 \otimes D^c)\Delta.$$

By a standard argument, we have $\text{Coder}(\bar{T}V) \cong \text{Hom}(\bar{T}V, V)$ (cf. [14]). We recall an explicit formula of the isomorphism. Let $f : V^{\otimes i} \rightarrow V$ be an i -ary linear map. It is one of the generators in $\text{Hom}(\bar{T}V, V)$. The coderivation associated with f is defined by $f^c(V^{\otimes n < i}) := 0$ and

$$f^c(x_1, \dots, x_{n \geq i}) := \sum_{k=i}^n \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{|f|(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)})} \\ (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-i)}, f(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_k), x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n),$$

where σ is a $(k-i, i-1)$ -unshuffle. The inverse of the mapping $f \mapsto f^c$ is the (co)restriction.

If $f, g \in \text{Hom}(\bar{T}V, V)$ are i -ary, j -ary multilinear maps respectively, then

$$[f^c, g^c] = (f, g)^c,$$

where $[f^c, g^c]$ is the canonical commutator (Lie bracket) on $\text{Coder}(\bar{T}V)$ and where (f, g) is an $(i+j-1)$ -ary multilinear map. Since the mapping $f \mapsto f^c$ is an isomorphism, (f, g) defines a Lie bracket on $\text{Hom}(\bar{T}V, V)$.

In the sequel, we will identify $\text{Coder}(\bar{T}V)$ with $\text{Hom}(\bar{T}V, V)$ as a Lie algebra. We sometimes omit the superscript “ c ” from f^c .

Given a graded vector space V , an i -ary i -multilinear V -valued operation l_i on V of degree $2-i$ determines a degree 1 element in $\text{Hom}(\bar{T}sV, sV)$. The following proposition provides an alternative definition of sh Leibniz algebras.

Proposition 4.1. ([1]) *Let V be a graded vector space endowed with a system $\{l_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of i -ary i -multilinear V -valued operations, the operation $\{l_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ having degree $2-i$ and, for each $i \geq 1$, let*

$$\partial_i := s^{-1} \circ l_i \circ (s \otimes \dots \otimes s),$$

by construction of degree +1, viewed as a member of $\text{Coder}(\bar{T}V)$ via the identifications $\bar{T}V \cong \bar{T}s^{-1}(sV)$. Define the coderivation ∂ by

$$\partial := \partial_1 + \partial_2 + \dots.$$

The system $(sV, l_1, l_2, \dots)$ is an sh Leibniz algebra if and only if

$$\frac{1}{2}[\partial, \partial] = 0$$

or equivalently, $\partial\partial = 0$.

4.2 The key Lemma

Let $(V, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ be a Leibniz algebra. We consider a collection of maps:

$$\text{Der}(V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\bar{T}V, V) \cong \text{Coder}(\bar{T}V), \quad D \mapsto N_i D \cong N_i^c D,$$

where $N_i D$ was defined in Section 3 and where $N_i^c D$ is the coderivation associated with $N_i D$. Theorem 3.4 is a consequence of the following

Lemma 4.2. *For any derivations $D, D' \in \text{Der}(V)$ and for any $i, j \geq 1$, the following identity holds.*

$$N_{i+j-1}[D, D'] = (N_i D, N_j D'),$$

or equivalently,

$$N_{i+j-1}^c[D, D'] = [N_i^c D, N_j^c D'].$$

Proof. We show the case of $i = 1$. The general case will be shown in Section 6. We have

$$\begin{aligned} N_j[D, D'] &= N_j([D, D'] \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1) \\ &= N_j(DD' \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1) - (-1)^{DD'} N_j(D'D \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1). \end{aligned}$$

By the derivation property, we have $N_j(DD' \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1) =$

$$DN_j(D' \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1) - (-1)^{DD'} \sum_{k \geq 2}^j N_j(D' \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes D^{(k)} \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1).$$

Hence we obtain $N_j[D, D'] =$

$$DN_j(D' \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1) - (-1)^{DD'} \sum_{k \geq 1}^j N_j(D' \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes D^{(k)} \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1),$$

which is equal to $N_j[D, D'] = (N_1 D, N_j D')$ because $N_1 D = D$. $\square$

The higher derived brackets are elements in $\text{Hom}(\bar{T}sV, sV)$. Hence they correspond to the coderivations in $\text{Coder}(\bar{T}V)$, via the maps,

$$\text{Hom}(\bar{T}sV, sV) \overset{\text{shift}}{\sim} \text{Hom}(\bar{T}V, V) \cong \text{Coder}(\bar{T}V).$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let ∂_i be the coderivation associated with the i -ary derived bracket. It has the following form,*

$$\partial_i = N_i^c \delta_{i-1}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i &:= s^{-1} \circ l_i \circ (s \otimes \cdots \otimes s) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}} N_i \circ (s^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes s^{-1}) \circ (s \delta_{i-1} \otimes s \otimes \cdots \otimes s) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2}} N_i \circ (\delta_{i-1} \otimes s^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes s^{-1}) \circ (1 \otimes s \otimes \cdots \otimes s) \\ &= N_i \delta_{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\partial_i = N_i^c \delta_{i-1}$ as a coderivation. $\square$

Now, we give a proof of Theorem 3.4.

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, the differential $\delta_t = \sum t^i \delta_i$ corresponds to the coderivation:

$$\partial := \partial_1 + \partial_2 + \partial_3 + \cdots.$$

By Lemmas 4.2, the deformation condition $[d, d] = 0$ corresponds to the homotopy algebra condition,

$$\sum_{i+j=Const} [\partial_i, \partial_j] = \sum_{i+j=Const} [N_i^c \delta_{i-1}, N_j^c \delta_{j-1}] = N_{i+j-1}^c \sum_{i+j=Const} [\delta_{i-1}, \delta_{j-1}] = 0.$$

$\square$

Remark 4.4. (cf. Lemma 4.2) *We consider the case of the trivial deformation, that is, $\delta_t = t\delta_1$. In this case, the induced sh Leibniz algebra is an ordinary Leibniz algebra. We put $CL^n(sV) := \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes n}, V)$ and $b(-) := (\partial_2, -)$. Then $(CL^*(sV), b)$ is the Leibniz cohomology complex ([9]). The key Lemma implies that $\text{Der}(V)$ provides a subcomplex of the Leibniz complex:*

$$N_i \text{Der}(V) \subset CL^i(sV),$$

because $(\partial_2, N_i D) = (N_2 \delta_1, N_i D) = N_{i+1}[\delta_1, D]$. If δ_1 is an adjoint representation, i.e., $\delta_1 := \text{ad}(\theta) := [\theta, -]$ for some $\theta \in V$, then $N_i \text{ad}(V)$ is also a subcomplex,

$$N_i \text{ad}(V) \subset N_i \text{Der}(V) \subset CL^i(sV).$$

5 Deformation theory

In this section, we discuss the connection between deformation theory and sh Leibniz algebras. The deformation δ_t is considered to be a differential on $V[[t]]$, which is a Leibniz algebra of formal series with coefficients in V . Let $t\xi_1 \in \text{Der}(V[[t]])$ be a derivation with the degree 0. We consider a transformation,

$$\delta'_t := \exp(X_{t\xi_1})(\delta_t),$$

where $X_{t\xi_1} := [\cdot, t\xi_1]$. By a standard argument, δ'_t is also a deformation of δ_0 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta'_0 &= \delta_0, \\ \delta'_1 &= \delta_1 + [\delta_0, \xi_1], \\ \delta'_2 &= \delta_2 + [\delta_1, \xi_1] + \frac{1}{2!} [[\delta_0, \xi_1], \xi_1], \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ \delta'_i &= \sum_{n=0}^i \frac{1}{(i-n)!} X_{\xi_1}^{i-n}(\delta_n). \end{aligned}$$

The collection $\{\delta'_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ induces an sh Leibniz algebra structure $\partial' = \sum \partial'_i$, via the higher derived bracket construction. From Lemmas 4.2, 4.3, we have

$$\partial'_{i+1} = N_{i+1}^c \delta'_i = \sum_{n=0}^i \frac{1}{(i-n)!} X_{N_2^c \xi_1}^{i-n}(\partial_{n+1}).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\partial' = \exp(X_{N_2^c \xi_1})(\partial),$$

which implies that ∂' is equivalent to ∂ . We consider a general case. Let $\xi_t := t\xi_1 + t^2\xi_2 + \dots$ be a derivation on $V[[t]]$ with degree 0. The transformation (4) below is called a *gauge transformation*.

$$\delta'_t := \exp(X_{\xi_t})(\delta_t). \tag{4}$$

Proposition 5.1. (I) *If two deformations of δ_0 are gauge equivalent, or related via the gauge transformation, then the induced sh Leibniz algebra structures are equivalent to each other, i.e., the codifferential ∂' induced by δ'_t is related with ∂ via the transformation,*

$$\partial' = \exp(X_{\Xi})(\partial), \tag{5}$$

where Ξ is a coderivation,

$$\Xi := N_2^c \xi_1 + N_3^c \xi_2 + \dots + N_{i+1}^c \xi_i + \dots$$

(II) The exponential of Ξ ,

$$e^\Xi := 1 + \Xi + \frac{1}{2!}\Xi^2 + \cdots,$$

is a dg coalgebra isomorphism between $(\bar{T}V, \partial)$ and $(\bar{T}V, \partial')$, namely, (6) and (7) below hold.

$$\partial' = e^{-\Xi} \cdot \partial \cdot e^\Xi, \quad (6)$$

$$\Delta e^\Xi = (e^\Xi \otimes e^\Xi) \Delta. \quad (7)$$

The notion of sh Leibniz algebra homomorphism is defined to be a map satisfying (6) and (7). Thus (II) says that e^Ξ is an sh Leibniz algebra isomorphism.

Proof. (I) From (4) we have

$$\delta'_n = \delta_n + \sum_{n=i+j} [\delta_i, \xi_j] + \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{n=i+j+k} [[\delta_i, \xi_j], \xi_k] + \cdots.$$

Hence we obtain $\partial'_{n+1} = N_{n+1}^c \delta'_n =$

$$\begin{aligned} & N_{n+1}^c \delta_n + \sum_{n=i+j} N_{n+1}^c [\delta_i, \xi_j] + \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{n=i+j+k} N_{n+1}^c [[\delta_i, \xi_j], \xi_k] + \cdots = \\ & \partial_{n+1} + \sum_{n=i+j} [\partial_{i+1}, N_{j+1}^c \xi_j] + \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{n=i+j+k} [[\partial_{i+1}, N_{j+1}^c \xi_j], N_{k+1}^c \xi_k] + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

This gives (5).

(II) The exponential e^Ξ is well-defined as an isomorphism on $\bar{T}V$, because e^Ξ is finite on $V^{\otimes n}$ for each n . For instance, on $V^{\otimes 3}$,

$$e^\Xi \equiv 1 + (N_2^c \xi_1 + N_3^c \xi_2) + \frac{1}{2} (N_2^c \xi_1)^2.$$

By a direct computation, one can prove that

$$\exp(X_\Xi)(\partial) = e^{-\Xi} \cdot \partial \cdot e^\Xi.$$

Thus (6) holds. Since Ξ is a coderivation, e^Ξ satisfies (7). $\square$

6 Proof of Lemma 4.2

Claim 6.1. Let $f : V^{\otimes i} \rightarrow V$ be an i -ary linear map. For each n , we define $f^{(k)} : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V^{\otimes(n-i+1)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) &:= \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{|f|(x_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + x_{\sigma(k-i)})} \\ &\quad (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-i)}, f(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_k), x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Then the coderivation associated with f decomposes as :

$$f^c = \sum_{k \geq i} f^{(k)}.$$

In Section 4.2, we established the lemma for $i = 1$. We assume the identity of the lemma and prove the case of $i + 1$, i.e., $N_{i+j}[D, D'] = (N_{i+1}D, N_jD')$, or equivalently, $N_{i+j}^c[D, D'] = [N_{i+1}^cD, N_j^cD']$.

We put $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_{i+j-1})$. From the definition of $N.D$, we have

$$N_{i+j}^c[D, D'](\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}) = \{N_{i+j-1}^c[D, D'](\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}\}.$$

The assumption of the induction yields that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{i+j}^c[D, D'](\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}) &= \{[N_i^cD, N_j^cD'](\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}\} \\ &= \{N_i^cD \circ N_j^cD'(\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}\} - (-1)^{DD'} \{N_j^cD' \circ N_i^cD(\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Claim 6.1 derives

$$N_j^cD' = \sum_{k \geq j} N_j^{(k)}D',$$

which gives

$$N_{i+j}^c[D, D'](\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}) = \sum_{k=j}^{i+j-1} \{N_i^cD \circ N_j^{(k)}D'(\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}\} - (-1)^{DD'} \{N_j^cD' \circ N_i^cD(\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}\}. \quad (8)$$

The first term of (8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=j}^{i+j-1} \{N_i^cD \circ N_j^{(k)}D'(\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}\} &= \sum_{k=j}^{i+j-1} N_{i+1}^cD \circ N_j^{(k)}D'(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}) \\ &= N_{i+1}^cD \circ N_j^cD'(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}) - N_{i+1}^cD \circ N_j^{(i+j)}D'(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}), \end{aligned}$$

because the coderivation preserves the position of the most right component x_{i+j} .

So it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} -(-1)^{DD'} \{N_j^cD' \circ N_i^cD(\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}\} &= \\ N_{i+1}^cD \circ N_j^{(i+j)}D'(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}) - (-1)^{DD'} N_j^cD' \circ N_{i+1}^cD(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We need a lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *For any elements in the Leibniz algebra, $A, B, y_1, \dots, y_n \in V$,*

$$\begin{aligned} N_{n+2}(A, B, y_1, \dots, y_n) &= -(-1)^{AB} \{B, N_{n+1}(A, y_1, \dots, y_n)\} + \\ &\quad \sum_{a=1}^n (-1)^{B(y_1 + \dots + y_{a-1})} N_{n+1}(A, y_1, \dots, y_{a-1}, \{B, y_a\}, y_{a+1}, \dots, y_n). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We show the case of $n = 2$. Up to sign,

$$\begin{aligned}
\{B, \{\{A, y_1\}, y_2\}\} &= \{\{B, \{A, y_1\}\}, y_2\} + \{\{A, y_1\}, \{B, y_2\}\} \\
&= \{\{\{B, A\}, y_1\}, y_2\} + \{\{A, \{B, y_1\}\}, y_2\} + \{\{A, y_1\}, \{B, y_2\}\} \\
&= -\{\{\{A, B\}, y_1\}, y_2\} + \{\{A, \{B, y_1\}\}, y_2\} + \{\{A, y_1\}, \{B, y_2\}\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $-\{\{A, B\}, y_1\} = \{\{B, A\}, y_1\}$ is used. Thus we obtain

$$\{B, N_3(A, y_1, y_2)\} = -N_4(A, B, y_1, y_2) + N_3(A, \{B, y_1\}, y_2) + N_3(A, y_1, \{B, y_2\}).$$

□

We prove (9). By the definition of coderivation,

$$\begin{aligned}
N_i^c D(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{k=i}^{i+j-1} \sum_{\sigma} E(\sigma, k-i) \\
&\quad (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-i)}, N_i(Dx_{\sigma(k+1-i)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_k), x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{i+j-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$E(\sigma, *) := \epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{D(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(*)})}.$$

Since $N_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \{\{\{x_1, x_2\}, \dots\}, x_n\}$,

$$N_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = N_{n-i+1}(N_i(x_1, \dots, x_i), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n),$$

which gives $S := -(-1)^{DD'} \{N_j^c D' \circ N_i^c D(\mathbf{x}), x_{i+j}\} =$

$$\begin{aligned}
&-(-1)^{DD'} \sum_{k=i}^{i+j-1} \sum_{\sigma} E(\sigma, k-i) \\
&N_{i+j-k+2}(N_{k-i}(D'x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-i)}), N_i(Dx_{\sigma(k+1-i)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_k), x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{i+j}).
\end{aligned}$$

We put $A := N_{k-i}(D'x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-i)})$ and $B := N_i(Dx_{\sigma(k+1-i)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_k)$, then from Lemma 6.2,

$$S = T + U, \tag{10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
T &:= -(-1)^{DD'} \sum_{k=i}^{i+j-1} \sum_{\sigma} E(\sigma, k-i) E_1 \\
&\quad N_{i+1}(Dx_{\sigma(k+1-i)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_k, N_j(D'x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-i)}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{i+j})),
\end{aligned}$$

$$U := -(-1)^{DD'} \sum_{k=i}^{i+j-1} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{a=1}^{i+j-k} E(\sigma, k-i) E_2$$

$$N_j(D'x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-i)}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{k+a-1}, N_{i+1}(Dx_{\sigma(k+1-i)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_k, x_{k+a}), x_{k+a+1}, \dots, x_{i+j}),$$

where E_1 and E_2 are appropriate signs given by the manner in the lemma above.

(I) We show the identity,

$$T = N_{i+1}^c D \circ N_j^{(i+j)} D'(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}). \quad (11)$$

We replace σ in T with an unshuffle permutation τ along the table,

$\sigma(k+1-i)$	$\dots$	$\sigma(k-1)$	k	$\sigma(1)$	$\dots$	$\sigma(k-i)$
$\tau(1)$	$\dots$	$\tau(i-1)$	$\tau(i)$	$\tau(i+1)$	$\dots$	$\tau(k)$

Then Koszul sign is replaced with $\epsilon(\tau)$:

$$\epsilon(\tau) = \epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)})(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-1)} + x_k)},$$

and then $E(\sigma, k-i)E_1 =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{D(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)})}(-1)^{AB} \\ &= -\epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{D(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)})}(-1)^{(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)} + D')(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-1)} + x_k + D)} \\ &= -\epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)})(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-1)} + x_k)}(-1)^{D'(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-1)} + x_k) + DD'} \\ &= -\epsilon(\tau)(-1)^{D'(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-1)} + x_k) + DD'} \\ &= -\epsilon(\tau)(-1)^{D'(x_{\tau(1)} + \dots + x_{\tau(i-1)} + x_{\tau(i)}) + DD'} = -E'(\tau, i)(-1)^{DD'}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus T is equal to

$$T' := \sum_{k=i}^{i+j-1} \sum_{\tau} E'(\tau, i) N_{i+1}(Dx_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(i-1)}, x_{\tau(i)=k}, N_j(D'x_{\tau(i+1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(k)}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{i+j})),$$

where τ is an $(i, k-i)$ -unshuffle such that $\tau(i) = k$.

Claim 6.3. $T' = T''$, where

$$T'' := \sum_{\nu} E'(\nu, i) N_{i+1}(Dx_{\nu(1)}, \dots, x_{\nu(i-1)}, x_{\nu(i)}, N_j(D'x_{\nu(i+1)}, \dots, x_{\nu(i+j-1)}, x_{i+j})),$$

where ν is an $(i, j-1)$ -unshuffle.

Proof. We put $k := \nu(i)$ in T'' . Since ν is an $(i, j-1)$ -unshuffle, $i \leq k \leq i+j-1$. Replace ν with τ . This replacement preserves the order of variables. Hence $E'(\tau, i) = E'(\nu, i)$, which gives the identity of the claim. $\square$

Since $T'' = N_{i+1}^c D \circ N_j^{(i+j)} D'(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j})$, we obtain (11).

(II) We show the identity,

$$U = -(-1)^{DD'} N_j^c D' \circ N_{i+1}^c D(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j}). \quad (12)$$

We replace σ in U with an unshuffle permutation τ along the table,

$\sigma(1)$	...	$\sigma(k-i)$	$k+1$	...	$k+a-1$
$\tau(1)$	...	$\tau(k-i)$	$\tau(k+1-i)$	...	$\tau(k+a-1-i)$
$\sigma(k+1-i)$	...	$\sigma(k-1)$	k		
$\tau(k+a-i)$	...	$\tau(k+a-2)$	$\tau(k+a-1)$		

Then the Koszul sign is replaced with $\epsilon(\tau)$:

$$\epsilon(\tau) = \epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-1)} + x_k)(x_{k+1} + \dots + x_{k+a-1})},$$

and then $E(\sigma, k-i)E_2 =$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{D(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)})}(-1)^{B(x_{k+1} + \dots + x_{k+a-1})} \\
&= \epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{D(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)})}(-1)^{(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-1)} + x_k + D)(x_{k+1} + \dots + x_{k+a-1})} \\
&= \epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{(x_{\sigma(k+1-i)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-1)} + x_k)(x_{k+1} + \dots + x_{k+a-1})}(-1)^{D(x_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + x_{\sigma(k-i)} + x_{k+1} + \dots + x_{k+a-1})} \\
&= \epsilon(\tau)(-1)^{D(x_{\tau(1)} + \dots + x_{\tau(k+a-1-i)})} \\
&= E(\tau, k+a-1-i) = E(\tau, m-i),
\end{aligned}$$

where $m := k+a-1$.

Claim 6.4. $U = U'$, where

$$U' := -(-1)^{DD'} \sum_{m=i}^{i+j-1} \sum_{\tau} E(\tau, m-i)$$

$$N_j(D'x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(m-i)}, N_{i+1}(Dx_{\tau(m+1-i)}, \dots, x_{\tau(m)}, x_{m+1}), x_{m+2}, \dots, x_{i+j}),$$

where τ is an $(m-i, i)$ -unshuffle.

Proof. Let τ be an $(m-i, i)$ -unshuffle. We put $k := \tau(m)$ and $a := m+1-\tau(m)$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\tau(1), \dots, \tau(m-i); \tau(m+1-i), \dots, \tau(m), m+1, \dots, i+j) = \\
&(\tau(1), \dots, \tau(k-i), k+1, \dots, k+a-1; \tau(k+a-i), \dots, \tau(k+a-2), k, k+a, \dots, i+j).
\end{aligned}$$

One can replace τ with an unshuffle σ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\tau(1), \dots, \tau(m-i); \tau(m+1-i), \dots, \tau(m), m+1, \dots, i+j) = \\
&(\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k-i), k+1, \dots, k+a-1; \sigma(k+1-i), \dots, \sigma(k-1), k, k+a, \dots, i+j),
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the table above. Up to this permutation, we obtain

$$\sum_{\tau} = \sum_{(k,a)} \sum_{\sigma}$$

where $(m-i, m)$ is fixed and (k, a) runs over all possible pairs. This gives

$$\sum_{m \geq i} \sum_{\tau} = \sum_{k \geq i} \sum_{a \geq 1} \sum_{\sigma},$$

which implies the identity of the claim. $\square$

Since $U' = -(-1)^{DD'} N_j^c D' \circ N_{i+1}^c D(\mathbf{x}, x_{i+j})$, we obtain (12). From (10), (11) and (12), we get the desired identity (9). The proof is completed.

References

- [1] M. Ammar and N. Poncin. Coalgebraic Approach to the Loday Infinity Category, Stem Differential for $2n$ -ary Graded and Homotopy Algebras. Preprint Arxiv, math/0809.4328.
- [2] J. M. Casas, J-L. Loday and T. Pirashvili. Leibniz n -algebras. Forum Math. 14 (2002), no. 2, 189–207.
- [3] M. Doubek, M. Markl and P. Zima. Deformation theory (lecture notes). Arch. Math. (Brno) 43 (2007), no. 5, 333–371.
- [4] V. Ginzburg and M. Kapranov. Koszul duality for operads. Duke Math. J. 76 (1994), no. 1, 203–272.
- [5] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach. From Poisson algebras to Gerstenhaber algebras. (English, French summary) Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 46 (1996), no. 5, 1243–1274.
- [6] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach. Derived brackets. Lett. Math. Phys. 69 (2004), 61–87.
- [7] T. Lada and M. Markl. Strongly homotopy Lie algebras. Comm. Algebra 23 (1995), no. 6, 2147–2161.
- [8] T. Lada and J. Stasheff. Introduction to sh Lie algebras for physicists. Preprint Arxiv, hep-th/9209099.
- [9] J-L. Loday and T. Pirashvili. Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and (co)homology. Math. Ann. 296 (1993), no. 1, 139–158.

- [10] J-L. Loday. Cup-product for Leibniz cohomology and dual Leibniz algebras. *Math. Scand.* 77 (1995), no. 2, 189–196.
- [11] J-L. Loday. Dialgebras. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1763. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2001), 7–66.
- [12] M. Markl. Models for operads. (English summary) *Comm. Algebra* 24 (1996), no. 4, 1471–1500.
- [13] M. Markl. Homotopy algebras via resolutions of operads. Preprint Arxive, math/9808101.
- [14] M. Markl, S. Shnider and J. Stasheff. Operads in algebra, topology and physics. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, 96. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (2002). x+349 pp.
- [15] D. Roytenberg. Quasi-Lie bialgebroids and twisted Poisson manifolds. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 61 (2002), no. 2, 123–137.
- [16] D. Roytenberg. AKSZ-BV formalism and Courant algebroid-induced topological field theories. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 79 (2007), no. 2, 143–159.
- [17] J-A. Vallejo. Nambu-Poisson manifolds and associated n -ary Lie algebroids. *J. Phys. A.* 34 (2001), no. 13, 2867–2881.
- [18] T. Voronov. Higher derived brackets and homotopy algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 202 (2005), no. 1-3, 133–153.

Post doctoral.

Science University of Tokyo.

3-14-1 Shinjyuku Tokyo Japan.

e-mail: K_Uchino[at]oct.rikadai.jp